

MEETING WITH ENEKO ANDUEZA

Yesterday, on October 3, the **Guggenheim Urdaibai Stop** citizens' platform held a meeting with **Eneko Andueza**, the Secretary General of the Socialist Party of the Basque Country (PSE-EE).

We are grateful that he agreed to this meeting, which was requested by the Platform.

As a broad and diverse citizens' platform, we receive numerous concerns and fears from local residents about the **Guggenheim Urdaibai project**. Likewise, we have heard various statements about the project from representatives of different administrations.

We approached this meeting with a sense of responsibility and a clear intent to obtain the most precise information possible about the project.

Our objectives were clear:

- 1. To provide a brief introduction of the Platform and clarify our goal: to definitively set aside the Guggenheim Urdaibai project.
- 2. To place on the table all the **questions and concerns** this project raises.
- 3. And finally, to **understand the position** of the political party that Eneko Andueza represents regarding this project.

The concerns can be summarized as follows:

- The **impositional style of governance** surrounding the project.
- Acting against the goals of Biosphere Reserves.
- The project not being the result of any proper diagnosis or study.
- The lack of transparency regarding the existence and details of the project.

- The fact that it is planned within a Special Conservation Zone (SCZ) of the Natura 2000 network, in an area of great vulnerability.
- Clear evidence of overdevelopment in the estuary.
- A disregard for planetary boundaries. ENEKOANDUEZAREKIN BILERA
 Atzo, urriaren 3ean, Guggenheim Urdaibai Stop herri plataformak Euskadiko
 Alderdi Sozialistako idazkari nagusia den Eneko Anduezarekin bilera izan
 zuenEn
- Misuse of public funds for private interests.
- The museum does not meet the region's actual needs.
- The attempt to manipulate citizens by linking the future of the Biosphere Reserve to the project.
- The launch of tokenistic, whitewashed participation processes.
- The threat to local culture and heritage that the project represents.

In this particular case, to all these general concerns, we must add the **worry caused** by the Socialist Party's public ambiguity on the matter.

On this last point, we've heard **conflicting opinions** from different Socialist Party representatives regarding the project:

At the regional level, some have spoken **against the Murueta site** (which would be part of the project), or have **called for and offered more transparency** due to the lack of information.

On the other hand, certain administrations in which the Socialist Party participates have shown **support for the administrative steps needed to push the project forward**, and the party has **signed a government agreement** to form the Basque Government that **includes the Guggenheim Urdaibai project**.

For the general public, it is difficult to identify a **clear stance** from the party on this project.

In the meeting, they expressed lack of knowledge about the project and a lack of information. They acknowledged the need to learn more about it in order to make an informed assessment and define their position. However, in the meantime, they are in favor of continuing to move forward with it.

As they've stated publicly, they need to understand the full scope of the project, and it must **respect existing laws**. The **€40 million obtained from the Spanish**

Government is seen in this context: for **restoration and recovery of the Biosphere Reserve**.

They said their **main source of information** is currently the **Guggenheim Foundation**, and they are awaiting a meeting with the **incoming director** who will succeed Juan Ignacio Vidarte.

From our point of view, it is extremely worrying that the main source of information is the **Guggenheim Foundation**, because it places the future of the region in the hands of a **private entity**.

The interests of the Foundation's board of trustees are unlikely to align with the needs of local citizens.

Moreover, **agreeing to move forward** with this project also concerns us deeply:

All these steps—modifications to municipal land-use plans (HAPOs), reduction of public coastal land protections, amendments to Partial Plans, and changes to the Biosphere Reserve's Management Plan—have one single objective:

Not the well-being of citizens, but the construction of a museum.

We asked for **responsibility and caution** on these issues, because even if they claim not to know the project well, all these actions are **publicly documented**.

In our view, the public administrations that are currently pushing this project forward and spending public funds to make it viable, are the ones who should provide the information and be held accountable.

As a party, they said their **priority is to develop a comprehensive plan** that responds to the **real needs of the region**, and in that regard, **we are in agreement**.

They also expressed **willingness to share accurate information** with the Platform once they have access to it, and we are grateful for that.

However, this meeting **has not changed our view** of the project—not in the slightest.

In fact, we are now **more convinced** than ever that this project is just a **spur-of-the-moment idea**, **proposed without any solid analysis**, and that **laws and regulations are being bent and reshaped step by step** to make it viable. Meanwhile, **disinformation prevails**—or at least, that seems to be the desired outcome.

Guggenheim Urdaibai Stop

Busturialdea, October 4, 2024